University of Washington Royalty Research Fund (RRF)

The Royalty Research Fund (RRF) aims to advance new directions in research across all UW campuses, particularly:

- for faculty who are junior in rank,
- and/or in disciplines for which external funding opportunities are minimal,
- and/or in cases where funding is likely to increase applicants' competitiveness for subsequent funding.

The average success rate is approximately 25% across the three UW campuses and 34% among UW Tacoma faculty.

PROPOSALS

The RRF welcomes proposals with budgets up to \$40,000. Proposal Descriptions are limited to (6) pages. Faculty may apply for either (1) the **standard RRF program**, which provides funding for 2 months of summer salary and other research expenses or (2) the **scholar RRF program**, which enables one-quarter of teaching release time.

ELIGIBILITY

All UW Tacoma **full-time faculty** and **professional staff** with **regular or fixed-term appointments** are eligible to apply. RRF only supports research and creative activities and is not intended to support pedagogical innovations or assessments.

REVIEW CRITERIA

Proposals are reviewed **on three merit criteria**: **1) Research Performance Competence**: Capability of the investigator(s), technical soundness, adequacy of resources; **2) Intrinsic merit:** Likelihood of generating fundamental advances in the research field; Likelihood of generating benefits extrinsic to the field (e.g. to society, students, community); and **3) Potential for Broader Impacts**: Potential to support BIPOC students, post-docs, and/or early-career colleagues, as well as benefit underrepresented or underserved communities. **Rank** is also considered, with a preference for junior faculty.

APPLICATIONS ALLOWED

A (co-)PI may submit only (1) proposal per round. A proposal may be resubmitted twice (maximum of 3 submissions).

DEADLINES

RRF has two deadlines, the last Monday in September (Monday, September 30, 2024) and the first Monday in March (Monday, March 3, 2025) and. The proposal is submitted through UW's grant processing system, SAGE, and requires Dean/Director approval. Pls are strongly advised to submit 3-4 days in advance of the deadline; Pls must submit final proposal elements to UWT Office of Research by Wednesday, September 18, 2024.

RECENT UW TACOMA AWARDEES

- 2024 Alison Cardinal (SIAS/CAC) | Language Justice in Communication Design
- 2024 Kelly Kim (SIAS/SAM) | Copper-Catalyzed Intermolecular C2-H Amination of 4-Quinazolinones
- 2024 Pamela Krayenbuhl (SIAS/CAC) | White Screens, Black Dance: Race and Masculinity in the US at Midcentury
- 2023 Ellen Bayer (SIAS/CAC) | Life Near the Bone: A Journey of Discovery in the Wild
- 2023 Sarah Alaei (SIAS/SAM) | Characterizing the Impacts of Arsenic Contamination on Freshwater Lake Microbiomes
- 2022 Lorne Arnold (SET) | Investigating the use of sliding block methods as an index for seismically induced rock slope failure volume a proof of concept study
- 2022 Anindita Bhattacharya (SW/CJ) | Understanding Help-Seeking Pathways among Racially Minoritized Women Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence: A Longitudinal Investigation
- 2022 **Zhiquan Shu** (SET) | Long-term Cryopreservation of Organs by Vitrification and Electromagnetic Rewarming for Organ Banking and Transplantation
- 2022 Emily Thuma (SIAS/PPPA) | Decriminalize Survival: An Archive of Activism
- 2021 Riki Thompson (SIAS/CAC) | Discourse and design in the search for love and lust online
- 2020 Katie Haerling (NHL) | Examining theory-based debriefing practices after virtual simulation
- 2020 Turan Kayaoglu (SIAS/PPPA) | Rethinking Islam and human rights: Voices from the grassroots
- 2020 Randy Nichols (SIAS/CAC) | Nintendo: Playing for power
- 2019 Danica Miller (SIAS/SHS) | Puyallup Sovereignties

WE ARE HERE TO HELP!

The Office of Research is here to support faculty in preparing RRF and all other proposals. Please contact **Cheryl Greengrove**, **Associate Vice Provost for Research** (cgreen@uw.edu) to discuss how we can help.

MORE INFO:

For full details about submitting to the RRF visit: https://www.washington.edu/research/or/royalty-research-fund-rrf/.



Preparing a successful RRF proposal

The RRF requires a (6) page **Description of Proposed Research** with the following required headings:

A. Introduction and Rationale

- Identify the fundamental "problem" or "gap"
- Theoretical background/justification; Significance and Potential Impact
- Critical literature review
- Preliminary work, including relevant publications
- Clear segue to research questions/objectives

B. Broader Impacts

How will the proposed work (a) Increase diversity and inclusion in the field, (b) Support / mentor BIPOC UW
community members, and/or (c) Benefit underrepresented or underserved communities

C. Objectives

– What specific, measurable objectives will the project achieve to address gaps in knowledge / practice?

D. Procedure

- What is your *plan* for achieving the objectives? What methods/tools will be used?
- What capacities do you have to successfully execute the plan of action?
- If access to a particular location or institution is required, state whether permission has been obtained

E. Time Schedule

- How will the proposed work be completed within 1-year? A table with key milestones helps here.

F. Need for RRF

- How will the award advance your overall research agenda and career trajectory?
- How will the results lead to increased competitiveness for further external funding or commercial applications?
- If requesting release, document teaching load (quarter, course number, title & credits)
- If senior faculty: describe in detail how the project (a) supports genuinely new directions in your research/career; (b) constitutes a unique, time-sensitive opportunity, and/or (c) originates in a discipline for which external funding opportunities are minimal

In addition, the **following proposal components are also required**:

Cover Page, Response to Previous Reviews (for resubmissions), Budget & Budget Narrative, Curriculum Vitae for all (co-)Pls, Other Research Support, References, and Suggested Reviewer Memo

Awarded proposals tend to share these common elements:

- Broad elements are understandable to a wide audience (scientifically-literate, but not expert)
- Proposes a discrete, stand-alone project, but with a clearly-communicated connection to the Pl's long-term research agenda; Demonstrates how the award will contribute to your scholarly development
- Clearly articulates the significance of the project to your field, and more broadly;
- Provides a well-reasoned plan of action that is feasible within a 1-year scope;
- Includes preliminary results (as possible) and distinguishes between past and proposed work;
- Emphasizes how the award increases competitiveness for specific future funding opportunities;
- Emphasizes the value of the work beyond the particular site in terms of contributions to the field, your long-term research agenda, and Diversity, Inclusion, and Equity objectives;
- Describes the contextual factors at UW Tacoma that make the project compelling and impactful
- PI writes with the possible committee members who may review the proposal in mind. See list: https://www.washington.edu/research/or/royalty-research-fund-rrf/rrf-committee-members/
- PI has requested successful proposed from awarded PIs doing relevant work. See list: https://www.washington.edu/research/or/royalty-research-fund-rrf/rrf-for-awardees/

See full **instructions for submitting a RRF** here:

www.washington.edu/research/or/rovaltv-research-fund-rrf/rrf-for-applicants/instructions-for-preparing-an-rrf-proposal/

